Table 3C-10. Summary Comparison of Effects: Tributary Riparian Vegetation

Alternative or Condition	Frequency of Channel Dewatering ^a	Erosion Potential ^b		Frequency of Recruitment Flows (% of Years)		Riparian Vegetation and
		Banks	Incision	Rush and Lee Vining	Parker and Walker	. Wetlands (% of Prediversion Extent) ^d
Point of reference	NA	High	Low	NA	0	61
Point-of-reference scenario	Very low ^e	NA	NA	25	100 ^e	NA
No restriction	High*	High	Extreme*	23	0*	<50*/
6,372 Ft	Very low	Low-moderate	Moderate*	7*	7*	63-82′
6,377 Ft	Very low	Moderate ^f	Low	9,* 52	85	61-81′
6,383.5 Ft	Very low	$High^f$	Very low	41	85	60-80′
6,390 Ft	Very low	$High^f$	Very low	47	85	60-79′
6,410 Ft	Very low	Very high*f	Very low	55	85	59-79/
No diversion	Very low	Very high*f	Very low	47	85	60-80′
Prediversion	Moderate		Very low			100

Notes: -- = absence of data; indicates no estimation method available.

* = significant adverse project effect.

/ = significant cumulative adverse effect.

NA = not applicable.

^a Table 3C-11.

^b Table 3C-12.

^c Table 3C-13.

^d Figure 3C-11 and Table 3C-14.

^e Assumes point of reference includes current required flows for Parker and Walker Creeks.

f Significant erosion of Parker and Walker Creeks would occur* unless planned releases were reduced.